

PARTICIPANT SURVEY EVALUATION REPORT

SEPTEMBER 9TH 2014 Event: FASD Awareness Day

TECHNOLOGY AND CHILD DEVELOPMENT

CRIS ROWAN

CRADACL FASD Key Worker Program & KDC Health Precious Beginnings Program

Approximately one-hundred and thirty five community service providers and parents attended the Cris Technology and Child Development workshop at Quinsam Hall. Of the participants fifty-one people returned their evaluation forms. The following is a summary of the fifty-one responses.

Quantitative Results:

1. When attendees were asked how their overall rating of this talk was talk they were provided with the options: wow, neat, so-so, yawn, asleep.

Responses:

Fifty-five percent of the respondent's chose 'wow', forty-three percent chose 'neat,' two percent chose 'so-so' and no respondents chose 'yawn' or 'asleep'.

2. When asked about the amount of information presented the participants were provided with the option to circle the answer: 'too much', 'perfect', 'satisfied,' 'that's all' or 'nothing'.

Responses:

Seventy percent chose 'perfect', twenty-eight percent chose 'satisfied,' two percent chose 'too much', and no respondents chose 'that's all' or 'nothing.'

3. When the participants were asked if they learned anything new they were provided with the options: 'Lots', 'a few things', 'good reminders', 'not really' or 'nothing'.

Responses:

Seventy-four percent chose 'lots', twenty-two percent chose 'a few things', four percent chose 'good reminders' and no respondents chose 'not really' or 'nothing'.

Qualitative Results:

4. What part of the presentation had the greatest impact?

➤ Twenty-one people commented on the value of the statistical information provided.

- Nineteen people found it valuable to learn about the correlation between technology use and lasting effects on development, behaviour and life outcomes.
- Eleven people were happy with the resources offered, hands on techniques and specific recommendations.
- Six people made positive comments regarding the presentation style, ability to easily understand the information and use of videos.
- One person found it valuable to learn about physician misdiagnoses/use of drugs as treatment without looking at environmental reasons for behaviour.

5. Comments or suggestions for next time:

- Six people felt that there was nothing to be improved upon.
- Four people commented on the amount of information e.g. delivery should be spread out over a larger timeframe.
- Three people suggested the use of a microphone for audience questions.
- Three people felt that this workshop should be provided to youth directly.
- Three people would have preferred to have been provided a hardcopy of PowerPoint or handouts or more resources to take home.
- Two people wanted help with positive approaches when providing information to resistant families.
- Two people had difficulty reading some of the slides (one was colour blind and one said some of the slides were 'hard on the eyes').
- One person felt there needed to be more focus on why people use social media and treatment/responses reflecting the cause.
- One person wanted a discussion on how to advocate in community/country/schools for less technology usage.

Organization related comments:

- Three people felt that we should provide a microphone for audience questions.
- Three people appreciated the food.
- Three people mentioned that there was not much information on FASD.
- Two people comment on the quality of the sound and that it was difficult to hear at times.
- One person felt that having the presenter on a platform would be helpful.
- One person felt that the smell of the food was distracting.
- One person thought that the changes in lighting increased drowsiness.
- One person noted their appreciation of the First Nation's prayer.